

Resonant chirality in light scattered from magnetodielectric particles

Manuel Nieto-Vesperinas

Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas

Campus de Cantoblanco, Madrid 28049, Spain.

www.icmm.csic.es/mnv

E-mail: mnieto@icmm.csic.es

December 2016

Abstract. We show that the extinction of helicity on scattering by a magnetodielectric particle, bi-isotropic or not, is enhanced not only because of the resonant polarizabilities, but also due to interference between left and right circularly polarized components of the incident wave which, with appropriate parameters, it makes such interference to build up this helicity extinction even if the incident helicity density is locally zero and the particle is not chiral. This latter phenomenon goes beyond standard circular dichroism (CD), remarkably providing information on the real parts of the electric and/or magnetic polarizabilities, not provided by standard CD, of which that phenomenon may be considered a generalization.

PACS numbers: 42.25.Ja, 33.55.+b, 78.20.Ek, 75.85.+t

1. Introduction

In recent times, the concept of circular dichroism (CD) [1, 2, 3] has been extended to the extinction by scattering (or diffraction), transmission, and/or absorption by nanostructures that may or may not be chiral [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] and procedures to enhance its weak signal from absorbing molecules has been proposed [10, 11, 12, 13]. Also a helicity optical theorem (HOT) has recently been established [14] showing that dichroism phenomena are particular effects resulting from a fundamental law of electrodynamics: the conservation of electromagnetic helicity, [15, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20], also lending sufficient conditions to produce chiral fields by scattering [9], and providing answers to long-standing questions on the interplay between the chirality of fields and that of matter [21, 22].

The *helicity* of quasi-monochromatic, i.e. time-harmonic, light waves, which are those addressed in this letter, is equivalent to their *chirality* [23]. The latter being a term employed in [13] and that, having subsequently became of widespread use in the literature, we shall also consider here. As stated in [14], for these time-harmonic fields

both magnitudes just differ by a factor: the square of the wavenumber. However, for general time-dependent fields both quantities have a different physical nature and hence are not equivalent. This distinction being important in matter. Also, as noticed in [16], the helicity has dimensions of angular momentum whereas the chirality does not.

In this paper we go beyond the CD concept by characterizing the extinction of helicity of incident 3D polarized fields possessing a longitudinal component, and whose projection in the plane transversal to the propagation direction has elliptic polarization, namely is the sum of a left circular (LCP) and a right circular (RCP) wave. Such extinction takes place due to scattering with a magnetodielectric particle. We shall here represent these fields by optical beams. This helicity extinction is shown to be generated not only, as in CD, by the cross electric-magnetic polarizability that characterizes the particle chirality, or by the incident helicity density, but also by an interference factor that mixes those LCP and RCP components, an effect in which this cross-polarizability plays no role and hence it does not require that the particle be chiral. We illustrate it under resonant scattering, showing an important enhancement of this extinction due to this mixing effect. Likewise, we also remark that the extinction of energy incident on the particle is not only due to the incident helicity and energy densities, but also to an interference of these LCP and RCP components.

2. 3D polarized fields with LCP and RCP transversal polarization

We address the spatial parts $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ of the electric and magnetic vectors of quasimonochromatic fields in their complex representation. Their scattering in a medium of refractive index $n = \sqrt{\epsilon\mu}$ by a magnetodielectric and *chiral* bi-isotropic particle, dipolar in the wide sense [26, 27], is characterized by its polarizabilities, that for e.g. a sphere are: $\alpha_e = i\frac{3}{2k^3}a_1$, $\alpha_m = i\frac{3}{2k^3}b_1$, $\alpha_{em} = i\frac{3}{2k^3}c_1$, $\alpha_{me} = i\frac{3}{2k^3}d_1 = -\alpha_{em}$. $k = n\omega/c = 2\pi n/\lambda$. Where a_1 , b_1 and $c_1 = -d_1$ stand for the electric, magnetic, and magnetoelectric first Mie coefficients, respectively [28].

The electric and magnetic dipole moments, $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{m}$, induced in the particle by this incident field are:

$$\mathbf{p} = \alpha_e \mathbf{E} - \alpha_{me} \mathbf{B}, \quad \mathbf{m} = \alpha_{me} \mathbf{E} + \alpha_m \mathbf{B}. \quad (1)$$

As introduced above, we consider fields $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ expressible as the sum of 3D polarized fields whose transversal polarization is LCP (sign: +) and RCP (sign: -), respectively, (the notation of [29] is followed); thus holding [9]:

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}); \quad \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{B}^+(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{B}^-(\mathbf{r}) = -ni[\mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r})]; \quad (2)$$

by which we express the dipolar moments as

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{p}^+(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{p}^-(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{m}^+(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{m}^-(\mathbf{r}). \quad (3)$$

with

$$\mathbf{p}_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}) = (\alpha_e \pm ni\alpha_{me})\mathbf{E}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r}); \quad \mathbf{m}_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}) = (\alpha_{me} \mp ni\alpha_m)\mathbf{E}^{\pm}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (4)$$

The 3D polarized wavefields of Eq. (2) *are not* just plane waves or transversally polarized beams. In a XYZ -Cartesian framework, $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ [cf. Eq. (2)] have, in general, a z -component, while that in the XY -plane is elliptically polarized. As shown below, we illustrate these electromagnetic fields by the sum of two beams propagating along OZ : LCP and RCP, respectively; both circular polarizations holding in the XY -plane transversal to the beam z -axis. In addition, both beams have a Cartesian component along OZ .

3. The extinction of incident helicity and energy on scattering. Beyond circular dichroism

Using a Gaussian system of units, the densities of helicity, $\mathcal{H}$, -or k^{-2} times the chirality-, and energy, $\mathcal{W}$, (understood as a time-averaged in this work), of this incident field are: $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) = (\epsilon/2k)[|\mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r})|^2 - |\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r})|^2]$ and $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{r}) = (\epsilon/8\pi)[|\mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r})|^2 + |\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r})|^2]$, respectively. In what follows $\Re$ and $\Im$ denote real and imaginary parts, respectively.

The HOT that expresses the *conservation of helicity* is [14]

$$\frac{2\pi c}{\mu} \Re\left\{-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{B}^* + \mu \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{E}^*\right\} = \frac{8\pi c k^3}{3\epsilon} \Im[\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}^*] + \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^a. \quad (5)$$

The left side of (5) constitutes the *extinction of helicity* of the incident wave on scattering with the particle. This extinction is shown in the right side of (5) to be divided up into the *total helicity scattered or radiated* by the object, (i.e. the first term in this right side) and the rate of *helicity dissipation* $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^a$ [14], or *converted helicity* [19], on interaction with the scattering body.

We should recall the analogy of the HOT with the well-known standard optical theorem for energies

$$\frac{\omega}{2} \Im[\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{E}^* + \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}^*] = \frac{ck^4}{3n} [\epsilon^{-1} |\mathbf{p}|^2 + \mu |\mathbf{m}|^2] + \mathcal{W}^a. \quad (6)$$

The left side of (6) is the energy extinguished from the illuminating field, or rate of energy excitation in the scattering object. The first term in the right side constitutes the total energy scattered by the dipolar object, whereas $\mathcal{W}^a$ stands for the rate of energy absorption by the object from the illuminating wave.

On employing Eqs.(1)-(4), the extinction of incident helicity [cf.Eq.(5)]: $(2\pi c/\mu) \Re\{-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{B}^* + \mu \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{E}^*\}$, which henceforth we denote as $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s$, is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbf{r}) &\equiv \frac{2\pi c}{\mu} \left\{ \Im\{[\mathbf{p}_+(\mathbf{r}) + in\mathbf{m}_+(\mathbf{r})] \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [\mathbf{p}_-(\mathbf{r}) - in\mathbf{m}_-(\mathbf{r})] \cdot \mathbf{E}^{-*}(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \\ &\quad + 2\Re\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\} \Im\{\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r})\} \\ &= \frac{2\pi c}{\mu} \left\{ \frac{2k}{\epsilon} \Im\{\alpha_e + n^2\alpha_m\} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) + 16\pi \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}} \Re\{\alpha_{me}\} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\Re\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\} \Im\{\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r})\} \right\}; \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

whereas Eqs.(1)-(4) yield for the extinction of incident energy [cf.Eq.(6)]: $(\omega/2)\Im[\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{E}^* + \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}^*]$, which we write as $\mathcal{W}^s$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}^s(\mathbf{r}) &\equiv \frac{\omega}{2} \{ \Im \{ [\mathbf{p}_+(\mathbf{r}) + in\mathbf{m}_+(\mathbf{r})] \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r}) \\ &+ [\mathbf{p}_-(\mathbf{r}) - in\mathbf{m}_-(\mathbf{r})] \cdot \mathbf{E}^{-*}(\mathbf{r}) \} \\ &+ 2\Im(\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m) \Re \{ \mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r}) \} \} \\ &= \frac{\omega}{2} \left\{ \frac{8\pi}{\epsilon} \Im \{ \alpha_e + n^2\alpha_m \} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{r}) + 4k \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}} \Re \{ \alpha_{me} \} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\ &\left. + 2\Im \{ \alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m \} \Re \{ \mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r}) \} \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

In (7) and (8) $\mathbf{r}$ is the position vector of the center of the particle immersed in the illuminating wavefield. Eqs. (7) and (8) are fundamental as they establish the connection of the extinction of helicity $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s$ and energy $\mathcal{W}^s$ of the incident wave with the densities of incident helicity $\mathcal{H}$ and energy $\mathcal{W}$, and with the chirality of the dipolar particle, characterized by α_{me} . They remarkably show how the incident $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ contribute to the scattered $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s$ and $\mathcal{W}^s$ with their roles exchanged with respect to the polarizability factors in the corresponding term where they appear.

Notice from the right side of (5) that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s$ contains both the total scattered helicity and the incident helicity dissipation (or conversion). Similarly, from (6) one sees that $\mathcal{W}^s$ contains the total scattered energy as well as the dissipation of incident energy in the particle. In particular, if both $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^a$ and $\mathcal{W}^a$ are zero, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s$ and $\mathcal{W}^s$ represent the total scattered helicity and energy, respectively.

Since we are here interested in the rate of extinction of helicity, we observe in (7) that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s$, apart from being due to the incident helicity density $\mathcal{H}$ coupled with the dissipative part of the electric and magnetic polarizabilities, is generated by a coupling of the incident energy density $\mathcal{W}$ with the particle chirality through $\Re\{\alpha_{me}\}$. Moreover, of special importance is that, as shown by the third term $\Re\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\}\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ in Eq. (7), placing the particle at an appropriate position $\mathbf{r}$ in the illuminating wave, an incident field with no helicity density may give rise to a extinction rate of helicity on interaction with the particle, not only -as well-known- due to the particle chirality through the term with $\Re\{\alpha_{me}\}\mathcal{W}$, but also, and this is the new feature addressed in this work, because of the interference coupling factor $\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}$; i.e. even if $\mathcal{H} = 0$ and the particle is not chiral ($\alpha_{me} = 0$). Moreover, as $\Re\{\alpha_e\}$ and $\Re\{\alpha_m\}$ are usually larger than their imaginary counterparts at non-resonant λ , this interference term acquires special importance for molecules [9, 14]. As shown by the right side of (5), on interaction of the light with the particle such extinction may convey a selective dissipation of helicity $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^a$ which adds to a resulting total helicity of the scattered field. This latter fact agrees with [18, 19].

Eqs. (7) and (8) govern a *generalized dichroism* phenomenon and hence account for CD as a particular case. Namely, by defining the ratio $g = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s/\mathcal{W}^s$, which we shall name the *helicity extinction factor*, it is straightforward to see that either when the particle is dual (i.e. when $\alpha_e = n^2\alpha_m$), or when the interference terms of these equations vanish like e.g. for elliptically polarized plane waves, (for which $\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*} =$

0 since then no longitudinal z -component exists), then choosing as usually done: $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 = |\mathbf{E}^-|^2$, one has $g = \sqrt{\epsilon/\mu} \lambda g_{CD}$, where $g_{CD} = 2(\mathcal{W}^{s+} - \mathcal{W}^{s-})/(\mathcal{W}^{s+} + \mathcal{W}^{s-})$ is the well-known *dissymmetry factor* of standard CD which from (8) results: $g_{CD} = 4n\Re\{\alpha_{me}\}/(\Im\{\alpha_e\} + n^2\Im\{\alpha_m\})$.

Hence the CD phenomenon is one of the several consequences of the HOT and thus of the conservation of electromagnetic helicity. Namely, while standard CD is observed by illuminating the particle, or structure, with a LCP plane wave only, and separately with a RCP one, subsequently subtracting the corresponding scattered energies as: $\sqrt{\epsilon/\mu}(\mathcal{W}^{s+} - \mathcal{W}^{s-})$; CD may identically be observed on a unique illumination by a wave of the form (2) with no longitudinal component along the OZ -propagation direction, (e.g. a plane wave), linearly polarized in the (transversal) XY -plane, (or generally with $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 = |\mathbf{E}^-|^2$), therefore whose LCP and RCP components do not interfere with each other; ie. $\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*} = 0$. The extinction of helicity, normalized to the wavelength λ , is identical to the above mentioned difference of LCP and RCP energies.

We should remark that the HOT also account for the illumination of an object with those so-called superchiral fields produced by the superposition of two counterpropagating CPL plane waves of amplitudes E_1 and E_2 of opposite helicity as put forward in [13], (which on the basis of recent studies [21, 22] we prefer to call fields enhancing the dissymmetry factor). However it is known [30] that this method is limited to particles -or molecules- with $\alpha_m \simeq 0$, because in such configuration $g_{CD} = 4\epsilon\Re\{\alpha_{me}\}/[\Im\{\alpha_e\}(E_1 - E_2)/(E_1 + E_2) + n^2\Im\{\alpha_m\}(E_1 + E_2)/(E_1 - E_2)]$. So that when $\Im\{\alpha_m\} = 0$ the usually extremely small dissymmetry factor of standard CD, (often as small as 10^{-3} for molecules), may be enhanced, as seen from this latter expression of g_{CD} , just by choosing $E_1 \simeq E_2$, as proposed in [13], (or by making $E_1 \simeq -E_2$ when $\Im\{\alpha_e\} = 0$); but it is evident that these choices of E_1 and E_2 cannot enhance g_{CD} if both $\Im\{\alpha_e\}$ and $\Im\{\alpha_m\}$ are non-zero.

It is remarkable that term $2\Re\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\}\Im\{\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r})\}$ of (7) is *the only one* among those expressing the extinction of helicity and energy, Eqs. (7) and (8), that *provides information on the real parts of the polarizabilities*. No other term of (7) and (8) contain such information. In fact, there is a well-known lack of this information in the aforementioned standard CD and its dissymmetry factor. Therefore, this paper shows how creating experimental conditions for this interference factor to exist, provides a source of information on $\Re\{\alpha_e\}$ and $\Re\{\alpha_m\}$ through the extinction of helicity, Eq. (7), and its associated *extinction factor* g .

4. Illustration with a Gaussian beam

The contribution of $2\Re\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\}\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ in (7) to the helicity extinction, while $\Re\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = 0$ in (8), thus increasing the helicity extinction factor g , is next illustrated with an incident beam propagating along OZ , elliptically polarized in the XY -plane, and with longitudinal component along the z -propagation direction [9]. In this case $\partial_z \simeq ik_z$; the wavevector being written in Cartesian components as $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{K}, k_z)$;

$K = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$, $k_z = \sqrt{k^2 - K^2}$). The electric vector is expressed in terms of the vector potential $\mathbf{A}^\pm$ [24, 25] as:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}^\pm &= ik_z \mathbf{A}^\pm + \frac{i}{k_z} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}^\pm) \cdot \mathbf{A}^\pm(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{ik_z} (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \pm i\hat{\mathbf{y}}) u(\mathbf{r}) e^{ik_z z}. \\ u(\mathbf{r}) &= u_0^\pm(R, z) e^{il\phi}. \quad R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

So that using $\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}^\pm) \simeq ik_z(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}^\pm)\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ one has

$$\mathbf{E}^\pm = e^{ik_z z} [(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \pm i\hat{\mathbf{y}})u + \frac{i\hat{\mathbf{z}}}{k_z}(\partial_x u \pm i\partial_y u)]. \quad \mathbf{B}^\pm = \mp ni\mathbf{E}^\pm.\tag{10}$$

Which fulfills $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^\pm = 0$. We shall address the Bessel function of integer order: $u_0^\pm(R, z) = e_0^\pm J_l(KR)$, ($e_0^\pm$ being constant amplitudes) which, from (9) and (10) and after a calculation using the recurrence relation: $J_{l-1}(x) + J_{l+1}(x) = (2l/x)J_l(x)$, leads to the form (2) for a Bessel beam, whose components $\mathbf{E}^\pm$ are LCP and RCP, respectively, in the XY -plane transversal to its z -direction of propagation. Viz.:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}^\pm(\mathbf{r}) &= e_0^\pm e^{i(k_z z + l\phi)} [J_l(KR)(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \pm i\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \\ &\quad \mp \frac{iK}{k_z} \exp(\pm i\phi) J_{l\pm 1}(KR)\hat{\mathbf{z}}].\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

Eq. (11) coincides with that of [24, 25, 31].

From (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r})|^2 \pm |\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r})|^2 &= 2(|e_0^+|^2 \pm |e_0^-|^2) J_l^2(KR) \\ &\quad + \frac{K^2}{k_z^2} [J_{l+1}^2(KR)|e_0^+|^2 \pm |e_0^-|^2 J_{l-1}^2(KR)].\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

On the other hand, the factor $\Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ reduces to the contribution of the field z -component:


$$\begin{aligned}\Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} &= \Re(\Im)\{E_z^- \cdot E_z^{+*}\} \\ &= -\frac{K^2}{k_z^2} J_{l-1}(KR) J_{l+1}(KR) \Re(\Im)\{e_0^- e_0^{+*} e^{-2i\phi}\}.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

Therefore, either of these quantities, $\Re[\cdot]$ or $\Im[\cdot]$, may be made arbitrarily small (or zero) depending on the choice of parameters e_0^- and e_0^+ for the beam in the factor $\Re(\Im)\{e_0^- e_0^{+*} e^{-2i\phi}\}$. We shall consider the detection time large enough for the azimuthal angle ϕ of the particle center position $\mathbf{r}$ in the beam to span between 0 and $\pi/2$, (which should be in the range of about 4 seconds [31]), so that on average we just consider the quantity $\Re(\Im)\{e_0^- e_0^{+*}\}$. Hence choosing for example $e_0^-/e_0^+ = \pm a \exp(ib\pi/2)$, a and b being real, the value of $\Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ will oscillate about zero as $\cos(b\pi/2) (\sin(b\pi/2))$.

In this respect it is also important to observe the factor $(K^2/k_z^2) J_{l-1}(KR) J_{l+1}(KR)$ in (13) which may be made either much larger or smaller than the term of $J_l^2(KR)$ in (12) depending on the choice of the position $\mathbf{r}$ of the particle in the beam, and thus of the argument KR . Namely, in Eqs. (7) and (8) one can make the third terms, whose $\Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ factor is given by (13), comparable, or not, to the first and second terms whose $(|\mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r})|^2 \pm |\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r})|^2)$ factor is (12).

5. Example: Enhancements in the extinction of helicity on scattering with a resonant particle, either chiral or not

As an illustration we consider a chiral magnetodielectric particle of composite metal-dielectric in vacuum, $n = 1$, whose size linear dimension is not larger than 200 nm, (see an example of such an object in [32]). Both helicity dissipation, or conversion, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^a$, and energy absorption $\mathcal{W}^a$, are then susceptible of taking place, as previously emphasized concerning the right sides of (5) and (6). However, as stated before, in this work we are interested in the left sides of those two optical theorems, and hence on the extinctions $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s$ and $\mathcal{W}^s$, Eqs. (7) and (8), respectively. The particle polarizabilities have a resonance near $\lambda = 1.52 \mu\text{m}$, as shown in Fig. 1. We choose $K = 0.6k$, and set $l = 1$, $e_0^+ = 1$, $e_0^- = i$, i.e. $\Re\{e_0^- e_0^{+*}\} = 0$, hence $\Re\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = 0$, and so is the third term of (8) for $\mathcal{W}^s$; this allows to enhancing the value of g even if the incident helicity density $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) = (\epsilon/2k)[|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 - |\mathbf{E}^-|^2]$ is very small and the particle were achiral, as shown below.



(Color online). Real and imaginary parts of the polarizabilities α_e , α_m and α_{me} of a chiral particle near the resonant wavelength $\lambda = 1.52 \mu\text{m}$

At the different wavelengths, the radial coordinate R of the particle center in the beam transversal section is adjusted to either of the following two values of KR : $KR \simeq 3.75$, which is near the first out-of axis zero of $J_l^2(KR) = J_1(KR)^2$, (and thus the contribution of terms with this factor to Eqs. (7) and (8) through (12) is negligible), and $KR \simeq 2.25$, which is close to the first zero of $J_{l-1}(KR) = J_0(KR)$, (and hence the contribution through (13) of the third term with the $\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ factor in (7) is negligible). The latter is like the situation of standard CD. These values of KR also

give a hint on the range R_0 of approximate distances between minima of the beam intensity across its section, versus the size of the particle. $R_0 \simeq 3.75\lambda/2\pi = 895nm$ for $\lambda \simeq 1.5\mu m$, which is well above the linear size of the particle: no larger than $200nm$; and thus allows enough spatial resolution of its position with respect to the circles of intensity minima and maxima of the beam section, (see [31]).

Hence, at $KR = 2.25$ one has that $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 + |\mathbf{E}^-|^2 = 8\pi\mathcal{W}^s$ dominates over all other parameters since it is about $2.5(a.u.)$ while $\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = -0.019$, $\Re\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = 0$, and $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 - |\mathbf{E}^-|^2 = 0.09$. On the other hand, for $KR = 3.75$ one sees that $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 + |\mathbf{E}^-|^2$ no longer dominates since it is about $0.2(a.u.)$, while $\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = -0.1$, $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 - |\mathbf{E}^-|^2 = \Re\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = 0$.

Fig. 2 exhibits the spectra of the rate of helicity extinction $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s$, of energy extinction $\mathcal{W}^s$, (both scaled by $10^2/2\pi c$), and helicity extinction factor $g = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s/\mathcal{W}^s$, for $KR = 3.75$ (upper graph) and $KR = 2.25$ (lower graph) for a chiral particle with polarizabilities seen in Fig. 1. We also show the same scaled quantities, now denoted as $\mathcal{W}_{nq\mathcal{H}}^s$, $\mathcal{W}_{nq}^s$, and g_{nq} , for an almost achiral particle, ($\alpha_{me} \simeq 0$), with the same α_e and α_m .

As seen from Eq. (7) and Fig. 2 (above) for $KR = 3.75$, even when the particle is achiral and the incident helicity density at the point is zero locally at that value of KR , we confirm that the interference factor $\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ may be essential to yield an appreciable helicity extinction rate $\mathcal{W}_{nq\mathcal{H}}^s$ and a resonant helicity extinction factor $|g_{nq}| > 1$, ($g_{nq} = -1.15$ at $\lambda \simeq -1.53\mu m$ in this illustration). This is one of the main results of this letter, and is in contrast with standard circular dichroism in which $\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*} = 0$, and objects with zero, or a purely imaginary α_{me} , with no selective helicity dissipation, would produce no helicity extinction and therefore a zero value of g in absence of incident chirality.

In this respect we remark that the appreciable helicity extinction factors g and g_{nq} observed in Fig. 2 (above), may also be influenced by shifts, (which depend on the particle morphology), between the resonant peaks of the helicity and energy extinction rates.

The results of Fig.2 (above) should be compared with those when the factor $\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ is negligible, and so is the third term of Eq. (7). These are plotted in Fig. 2 (below) for $KR = 2.25$, showing that $\mathcal{W}_{nq\mathcal{H}}^s$ is extremely small compared to $\mathcal{W}_{nq}^s$, and hence g_{nq} is almost zero, ($|g_{nq}| \leq 0.05$). This is in contrast with the larger values of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s$ and g shown in Fig. 2 (below) when $KR = 2.25$ and the particle is chiral, i.e. $\Re\{\alpha_{me}\} \neq 0$, and thus in Eq. (7) the second term contributes, leading to significantly larger peaks of these quantities, ($g \simeq -1.5$ at $\lambda \simeq 1.525\mu m$), as in standard dichroism.

By contrast, for this choice of beam parameters, and in accordance with the above equations, both Figs. 2 show that $\mathcal{W}^s$ is not affected by the value of α_{me} ; although it considerably increases, like $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^s$, when $KR = 2.25$, as expected from the previous discussion on the contribution through (12) of $J_l(KR)$ in (7) and (8), another consequence of which is that the relative values: $g/\mathcal{W}^s$, and $g_{nq}/\mathcal{W}_{nq}^s$ are significantly larger in Fig. 2 (above) than in Fig. 2 (below). This latter fact highlights the relevance




Figure 1. (Color online). $(10^2/2\pi c)W_H^s$, $(10^2/2\pi c)W_s^s$, and g for the chiral particle of Figs. 1; as well as $(10^2/2\pi c)W_{nqH}^s$, $(10^2/2\pi c)W_{nq}^s$, and g_{nq} when that particle is made achiral, ($\alpha_{me} = 0$). Above: $KR = 3.75$. Below: $KR = 2.25$.

of the third term with factor $\Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ in (7) and (8) when those with the $\mathcal{W}$ factor do not dominate.

6. Conclusions

In conclusion, the concept of circular dichroism has been generalized by addressing the rate of helicity extinction. We have shown that this quantity may be enhanced not only by the resonances in the particle polarizabilities, but also due to interference between the left and right circularly polarized waves of the incident field, to which the longitudinal components contribute, as illustrated with a Bessel beam. Interestingly, this effect does not involve neither the chirality of the particle nor a non-zero local value of the incident helicity density; (i.e. for given parameters of the illuminating beam, one may find positions $\mathbf{r}$ of the particle in the beam where this local helicity density is $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ while the aforementioned interference term gives rise to a extinction of helicity. Also, and importantly, *this interference phenomenon is mediated by $\Re\{\alpha_e\}$ and $\Re\{\alpha_m\}$ thus yielding a source of information on these latter quantities, which was not provided by standard CD.*


Acknowledgments

Work supported by MINECO, grants FIS2012-36113-C03-03, FIS2014-55563-REDC and FIS2015-69295-C3-1-P.


References

- [1] J. A. Schellman, "Circular Dichroism and Optical Rotation", Chem. Rev. **75**, 323-331 (1975).
- [2] D. P. Craig, and T. Thirunamachandran, *Molecular Quantum electrodynamics: An Introduction to Radiation Molecule Interactions*, Dover, New York, 1998.
- [3] L. D. Barron, *Molecular Light Scattering and Optical Activity*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [4] C. Menzel, C. Helgert, C. Rockstuhl, E. B. Kley, A. Tnnermann, T. Pertsch, and F. Lederer, "Asymmetric Transmission of Linearly Polarized Light at Optical Metamaterials", Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 253902 (2010).
- [5] A. O. Govorov, Z. Fan, P. Hernandez, J. M. Slocik and R. R. Naik, "Theory of Circular Dichroism of Nanomaterials Comprising Chiral Molecules and Nanocrystals: Plasmon Enhancement, Dipole Interactions, and Dielectric Effects", Nano Lett. **10**, 13741382 (2010).
- [6] X. Zambrana-Puyalto, X. Vidal and G. Molina-Terriza, "Angular momentum-induced circular dichroism in non-chiral nanostructures", Nature Comm. **5** 4922 (2014).
- [7] B. Hopkins, A. N. Poddubny, A. E. Miroshnichenko and Y. S. Kivshar, "Circular dichroism induced by Fano resonances in planar chiral oligomers", Laser and Photonic Reviews **10**, 137-143 (2016).
- [8] L. Hu, X. Tian, Y. Huang, X. Wang and Y. Fang, "Quantitatively analyzing the mechanism of giant circular dichroism in extrinsic plasmonic chiral nanostructures by the interplay of electric and magnetic dipoles", Nanoscale **8**, 3720-3728 (2016).
- [9] M. Nieto-Vesperinas, "Chiral optical fields: A unified formulation of helicity scattered from particles and dichroism enhancement", Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. A, (in press). arXiv:1609.07889v1 (2016).


- [10] D. V. Guzatov and V.V. Klimov, "The influence of chiral spherical particles on the radiation of optically active molecules", *New J. Phys.* **14**, 123009 1-19 (2012).
- [11] A. Garcia-Etxarri and J. A. Dionne, "Surface-enhanced circular dichroism spectroscopy mediated by nonchiral nanoantennas", *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 235409 (2013).
- [12] H. Alaeian, and J. A. Dionne, "Controlling electric, magnetic, and chiral dipolar emission with PT-symmetric potentials", *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 245108 1-8 (2015).
- [13] Y. Tang and A. E. Cohen, "Optical Chirality and Its Interaction with Matter", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 163901 (2010).
- [14] M. Nieto-Vesperinas, "Optical theorem for the conservation of electromagnetic helicity: Significance for molecular energy transfer and enantiomeric discrimination by circular dichroism", *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 023813 1-8 (2015).
- [15] D.M. Lipkin, "Existence of a New Conservation Law in Electromagnetic Theory", *J. Math. Phys.* **5**, 696-700 (1964).
- [16] R. P. Cameron, S.M Barnett, and A. M. Yao, "Optical helicity, optical spin and related quantities in electromagnetic theory", *New J. Phys.* **14**, 053050 1-16 (2012).
- [17] K. Y. Bliokh, and F. Nori, "Characterizing optical chirality", *Phys. Rev. A.* **83** 021803(R) 1-3 (2011).
- [18] L. V. Poulikakos, P. Gutsche, K. M. McPeak, S. Burger, J. Niegemann, C. Hafner, and D. J. Norris, "Optical chirality flux as a useful far-field probe of chiral near fields", *ACS Photonics* **3** 1619-1625 (2016).
- [19] P. Gutsche, L.V. Poulikakos, M. Hammerschmidt, S. Burger, and F. Schmidt, "Time-harmonic optical chirality in inhomogeneous space", arXiv:1603.05011v1 (2016).
- [20] I. Fernandez-Corbaton and C. Rockstuhl, "A unified theory to describe and engineer conservation laws in light-matter interactions", arXiv:1611.01007v1 (2016).
- [21] M. M. Coles and D. L. Andrews, "Chirality and angular momentum in optical radiation", *Phys. Rev. A* **85** 063810 (2012).
- [22] D. S. Bradshaw, J. M. Leeder, M. M. Coles and D. L. Andrews, "Signatures of material and optical chirality: Origins and measures", *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **626**, 106-110 (2015).
- [23] S. M. Barnett, R. P. Cameron and A. M. Yao, "Duplex symmetry and its relation to the conservation of optical helicity", *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 013845 (2012).
- [24] S. M. Barnett and L. Allen, "Orbital angular momentum and nonparaxial light beams", *Optics Comm.* **110**, 670-678 (1994).
- [25] L. Allen, M. J. Padgett and M. Babiker, "The orbital angular momentum of light". In *Prog. Opt.* **39**, E. Wolf, ed., (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1999), pp. 291-372.
- [26] I. Sersic, M. A. van de Haar, F. B. Arango, and A. F. Koenderink, "Ubiquity of optical activity in planar metamaterial scatterers", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** 223903 (2012).
- [27] M. Nieto-Vesperinas, "Optical torque on small bi-isotropic particles", *Opt. Lett.* **40**, 3021-3024 (2015).
- [28] C. F. Bohren and D.R. Huffman, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles*, J. Wiley, New York, 1983.
- [29] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd edition, John Wiley, New York, 1998.
- [30] J. S. Choi and M. Cho, "Limitations of a superchiral field", *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 063834 1-22 (2012).
- [31] K. Volke-Sepulveda, V. Garcés-Chavez, S. Chavez-Cerda, J. Arlt and K. Dholakia, "Orbital angular momentum of a high-order Bessel light beam" *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **4** S82- S89 (2002).
- [32] D. E. Fernandes and M. G. Silveirinha, "Single beam optical conveyor belt for chiral particles", *Phys. Rev. Applied* **6**, 014016 (2016).




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)